

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI  
NORTHERN DIVISION**

**INDIGO WILLIAMS, ET AL.**

**PLAINTIFFS**

**V.**

**CAUSE NO. 3:17-cv-404-WHB-LRA**

**PHIL BRYANT, ET AL.**

**DEFENDANTS**

---

**PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO ALTER OR AMEND THE JUDGMENT OR, IN  
THE ALTERNATIVE, FOR LEAVE TO FILE AN AMENDED COMPLAINT**

---

Pursuant to Rule 59 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Plaintiffs move the Court to alter, amend, or vacate its Final Judgment [Docket No. 32] because it suffers from two clear errors of law.

First, the Plaintiffs' claims are not barred by the Eleventh Amendment. The Complaint requested prospective declaratory relief against an ongoing violation of federal law. The Eleventh Amendment's *Ex parte Young* exception permits such claims. *Aguilar v. Texas Dep't of Criminal Justice*, 160 F.3d 1052, 1054 (5th Cir. 1998) ("To meet the *Ex Parte Young* exception, a plaintiff's suit alleging a violation of federal law must be brought against individual persons in their official capacities as agents of the state, and the relief sought must be declaratory *or* injunctive in nature and prospective in effect.") (emphasis added).

Second, even if the Eleventh Amendment applied, dismissal should have been without prejudice. *Warnock v. Pecos Cty., Texas*, 88 F.3d 341, 343 (5th Cir. 1996) ("Because sovereign immunity deprives the court of jurisdiction, the claims barred by sovereign immunity can be dismissed only under Rule 12(b)(1) and not with prejudice."). If the dismissal is altered to a dismissal without prejudice, and if the Court

still believes that the Complaint seeks retrospective (rather than prospective) relief, then the Court should grant leave to amend the Complaint so that any pleading defects can be cured. *See Hitt v. City of Pasadena*, 561 F.2d 606, 609 (5th Cir. 1977) (granting leave to cure jurisdictional pleading defect). The Proposed First Amended Complaint is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

Accordingly, the Plaintiffs move the Court to vacate the Final Judgment and to deny the State Defendants' Motion to Dismiss [Docket No. 23]. Alternatively, the Plaintiffs move the Court to alter the portion of the Final Judgment dismissing the Complaint with prejudice and grant the Plaintiffs leave to file an amended complaint that cures any pleading defects.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this Eleventh day of April 2018.

/s/ Will Bardwell  
Will Bardwell  
Counsel for the Plaintiffs

**OF COUNSEL:**

William B. Bardwell (Miss. Bar No. 102910)  
Christine Bischoff (Miss. Bar No. 105457)  
Jody E. Owens, II (Miss. Bar No. 102333)  
Southern Poverty Law Center  
111 E. Capitol Street, Suite 280  
Jackson, Mississippi 39201  
Phone: (601) 948-8882  
Facsimile: (601) 948-8885  
E-mail: will.bardwell@splcenter.org  
E-mail: christine.bischoff@splcenter.org  
E-mail: jody.owens@splcenter.org

Rita L. Bender (*pro hac vice*)  
William J. Bender (*pro hac vice*)  
Skellenger Bender, P.S.  
Rainier Tower  
1301 Fifth Avenue, Suite 3401  
Seattle, Washington 98101

Telephone: (206) 623-6501  
Facsimile: (206) 447-1973  
E-mail: wbender@skellengerbender.com  
E-mail: rbender@skellengerbender.com

Anton Metlitsky (*pro hac vice*)  
Brad Elias (*pro hac vice*)  
O'Melveny & Myers LLP  
Times Square Tower, 33rd Floor  
7 Times Square  
New York, New York 10036  
Telephone: (212) 326-2000  
Facsimile: (212) 326-2061  
E-mail: ametlitsky@omm.com  
E-mail: belias@omm.com

...

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I, Will Bardwell, hereby certify that, simultaneous with this Motion's filing, I have served true and correct copies of the same on all counsel of record via the Court's electronic filing system.

SO CERTIFIED this Eleventh day of April 2018.

/s/ Will Bardwell  
Will Bardwell  
Counsel for the Plaintiffs